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The Latin formula *Varia mineralia* refers to the mineral *regnum*, which could be used in different combinations to serve as medicine, shelter, embellishment or alchemical magic. In ‘Aria Mineralia’, an exhibition of recent work by Larisa Crunțeanu, this young Romanian artist has created an artistic project in which the *regnum* encounters the human voice in an artistic alchemy of hope. Semantically multi-layered, the core idea in ‘Aria Mineralia’ emerges from a found object: a stone-shaped speaker. During a spontaneous walk in Bucharest, Larisa Crunțeanu and Sonja Hornung (the two artists have been collaborating on projects since 2015) encounter a peculiar object that looks like a stone. Although this striking object has the form of a stone found in its natural environment, it is to be used as a loudspeaker in the public space of
the Romanian capital. The uncertain status, nature and function of this stone-like entity initially intrigued the artists to such an extent that they decided to listen carefully to what the object might have to say about what it is and what it stands for. Thus, the objet trouvé – which can easily be taken as a loudspeaker, a stone, or whatever ontological inbetweenness – becomes a sign animated by the meaningful encounter with both the artist and the public of ‘Aria Mineralia’.

‘Aria Mineralia’ proposes both deadly serious and humorous approaches to current social, economic and existential concerns. The exhibition can also be read as a meditation on the unfeasibility of indubitable knowledge. Larisa Crunțeanu ordered twelve other pieces from the same company that produced the ‘original’ stone-shaped, plastic loudspeaker seen in Bucharest. Connecting the speakers in a sound installation (Esprit de Corps, 2018; produced in collaboration with Laurențiu Coțac), the artist thus envisages the interiority of these copies of a copy. Linking them through digital sound that consists of various unnatural instances of the artist’s voice made to seem plural as the voice of a group uttering famous philosophical and cultural mantras, the installation impersonates a conversation among the stones and is meant to reveal an access point to the object’s interior. The twelve-channel sound installation reminds the viewer of the innermost sacred unity of a community. All the sounds are digitally produced and none are natural or derived from nature. This seeming artifice does not mean that the stones displayed within Esprit de Corps do not retain an intelligence of their own once we listen to what they might have to tell us. The twelve stone-shaped speakers are also reminiscent of a religious choir in which everyone faces and responds to the other members, although unlike Catholic and Orthodox church choirs in which the singers face the public, instantiating a spectacular dimension of religious ritual, this choir of stones refuses this performative dimension of the spectacular. Esprit de Corps’s choir of unnatural sounds is concerned with ‘performing a task’ or accomplishing a collective objective, rather than ‘performing in a show’.1

Once the stone-shaped speaker is recontextualised within the art space, it no longer acts as a mere object but as a sign that has its own voice. In Derrida’s complex formulation, a loudspeaker could act as a meaningful rock that overcomes the boundaries of being just a rock and signifying nothing beyond itself.2 Once we listen to its voice, an entirely new metaphysics of presence is prone to convoluted interpretations. The objet trouvé speaks out and its voice

1 Clive Robertson has distinguished between the various instances of ‘performance’ (i.e. ‘performing in a show’ versus ‘performing a task’). See Clive Robertson as quoted in Adrian Guță, “‘Riders on the Storm’ – Performance Art in Romania between 1986 and 1996”, in Experiment in Romanian Art since 1960, Magda Cărnei and Alexandra Titu, eds, Soros Centre for Contemporary Art, Bucharest, 1997, p 78

reverberates, as the exhibition’s curator Julia Harasimowicz says, with ‘a gloomy statement, through the effect of associating the space with a kind of modern panopticon, in which nature changes imperceptibly into a masked technology for control’.  

‘Aria Mineralia’ thus emerges from an ostensibly artificial object that triggers questions about displaying and revealing what we loosely call ‘natural’ in our social and political life. The exhibition addresses a multifaceted set of questions, some of which might fit within analytical philosophy of a natural kind, while others reveal the artist’s interest in the way we know and understand the world around us by giving objects a chance to speak out. What is the relationship between ‘natural’ and ‘artificial’ environments? What is the status of an ordinary object in art and nature? Can artificiality somehow speak out against the conditions that have produced it? To what extent does what we call ‘natural’ embroil a certain degree of symbolic violence? We all know that the ‘naturalness’ of nature is prioritised over imitations of nature.

Excerpt from Julia Harasimowicz’s curatorial statement
The stone-shaped loudspeaker imitates an actual stone while standing for a ‘real’ one; at the same time, we still bear in mind its non-naturalness. The artifice is also reiterated in two ceramic sculptures modelled on the form of the original, found stone-shaped loudspeaker. This *Untitled* ceramic object (realised in collaboration with Olga Milczyńska and August Studio) refers to the phenomenon of imitation or forgery.

Without embracing the naturalist theory of modern science, ‘Aria Mineralia’ reveals a semantics and politics of the natural kind, including here stones and other varieties of mineral. The presence within the exhibition of an installation entitled *A Conversation between Three Workers* is revealing in this sense. This mixed media installation (a collaboration realised with Magda Vieru and Octavian Hrebenciuc),\(^4\) is inextricably linked to the main concept of ‘Aria Mineralia’, occasioning reflections on the condition of materiality, the natural/artificial dichotomy, and work and non-work time. The three pieces of clothing (which look like corporate uniforms) remind us that they are not just cloth but vessels of the bodies that contribute to the materialisation of personal and collective memories of onerous work, injustice and alienation. Thus, the three fabric items – all of which are just the size of the artist herself – become ‘a conversation between three workers’ whose worries, ideals and wishes are self-reflectively shared with the visitors of the exhibition. The materiality of this conversation is not without significance. Concerns related to the natural/artificial materiality of objects, environments and states of affairs also monopolise the debates around the mineral sources of...
some of the textile pieces. What, if any, is the difference between natural textile clothing and synthetic clothing when both can function as vessels of our corporeal memories and identities?5

Why do we tend to value natural (organic) textiles when only synthetic ones are actually elastic enough to hold together all three workers and their wearable office? This installation – like all the other works displayed in ‘Aria Mineralia’ – draws on the same ontological in-betweenness that triggers intricate conversations about post-essentialist philosophical positions by eradicating the idea that an object ‘X’ possesses a set of attributes (properties) that make it what it fundamentally and essentially is.

Visiting ‘Aria Mineralia’ was like entering a space of upturned day-to-day communication. As the curator Julia Harasimowicz posits, ‘Aria Mineralia’ might appear an absurd imitation of human voices that brings to mind ‘a different theory concerning mimicry – its cultural aspect being described as making (often for manipulation purposes) certain symbols, behaviours similar to those present and positively reinforced in the consciousness of a given group’. In the 2017 video A Story with 255 Possible Parts (realised in collaboration with Alexandru Dan and Iulian Stanciu), the universal hand game Rock/Paper/Scissors is reinterpreted to ontological and political ends. The childlike qualities of the game allow the viewer to see two hands camouflaged in colourful household cleaning gloves. The shape of a

5 Textile clothing usually consists of one of four sources (vegetal, animal, mineral and synthetic). Mineral, animal and vegetal textiles use natural materials while synthetic materials (acrylic, polyester, nylon) are not regarded as body friendly.
rock (a closed fist) can sometimes beat the other player, but it can lose in confrontation with paper (a flat hand). The winning hand changes the colour of the glove, reminding us that the winner takes all.

A Story with 255 Possible Parts displays narratives of victory and defeat, as well as of labour and making (un)biased group decisions. The working hand wears camouflaged cleaning gloves in a survival mechanism of blending in with its work or game surroundings. Gazing at the playful hands covered by the coloured gloves distracts from our perception of a ‘bare hand’. The hand as we used to know it, and the playful glove-covered hand, reveal irreconcilable anatomies that convince us to suppose that they belong to different or even divergent anthropological types.
Our natural/artificial connection with nature is also revealed in the 2018 video *No Image, No Camouflage* (realised in collaboration with Laurenţiu Coţac and Oana Dorobanţu). In this, Larisa Crunţeanu wears a dehumanising SFX suit. The contours of her body blend in with the surrounding landscape to such a degree that they become part of it. At one point, the SFX suit opens up as a chest wound filled with herbal medicinal plants and flowers. The phenomenon of camouflage is not necessarily a strategy to conceal existence and presence. The artist’s body is not merely disguised as a natural environment but, rather, disintegrates and dismembers, like Orpheus, into the surrounding landscape. During the performance, we notice that our referential system is not always able to disentangle the indefiniteness of nature and the definiteness of human nature. Facing this anthropological and ontological indeterminacy, ‘Aria Mineralia’ speaks volumes about contemporary fears of depersonalisation and alienation against a background of copies of a copy.
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